

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

<u>ll</u>

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | F    | ILING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. | 1 |  |
|------------------------|------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|---|--|
| 10/003,116             |      | 12/06/2001  | Mark Tuttle          | M4065.0363/P363-A 5771 |                  |   |  |
| 24998                  | 7590 | 08/10/2004  |                      | EXAM                   | INER             | 1 |  |
| DICKSTEI<br>2101 L STR |      | IRO MORIN & | BEREZNY, NEMA O      |                        |                  |   |  |
|                        |      | 20037-1526  |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |   |  |
|                        | ,    |             |                      | 2813                   | <del>-</del>     |   |  |
|                        |      |             |                      |                        |                  |   |  |

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)                                   |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 10/003,116   | TUTTLE, MARK                                   |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Examiner   | Art Unit                                       |              |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nema O Berezny   | 2813   |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).   | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI | f the final rejection.<br>E FINAL REJECTION. S | See MPEP     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a)  they raise new issues that would require furth  | er consideration and/or search (   | see NOTE below);                               |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I   | pelow);  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel  | ling a corresponding number of   | finally rejected clair                         | ms.          |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE:  |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | ction(s):  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  | l be allowable if submitted in a s   | separate, timely filed                         | d amendment  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se   |  | sidered but does NO                            | OT place the |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.   | cause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which we                             | ere newly    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w  | t(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel   | o) will be entered ow or appended.             | and an       |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: none.  |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .  |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 87-99   |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the pxaminer.   |  |  |              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme  | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   | Was I SVIA                                     | tohood/      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |  | CARL WHITEHEAD                                 | NI COUNTY    |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SUPERVISORY PATENT                             | EXAMINED     |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments for the reference of Fritz regarding 1) elements 31,34,36, and 32 not being substrates, 2) element 33 not being a magnetic field shielding material, and 3) element 35 not being an insulating layer are not persuasive.